88

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
071218 JAN 14 2008
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

(1)

NO._____
TERM 2007

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARTHUR L. HEIM a/k/a SIR ARTHUR HEIM

PETITIONER

VS.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION;

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

COMMISSIONER----------RESPONDENT.

CONTENT OF A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO THE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

ARTHUR L. HEIM A/K/A SIR ARTHUR HEIM Pro se
HC 63, BOX 57, HWY 30
BUSHNELL, NEBRASKA 69128-9512
CELL PHONE 308-235-8678

QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

1. IS THE JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL IN ERROR? (42 U.S.C. §1983)

2. Why was Laurie Smith Camp United States District Judge litigating this case for Defendant—Respondent?

3. Was there a conflict of rulings by federal courts of the Eighth Circuit when the 6th Circuit ruled that dismissal without a hearing was a hearing in judicial error?

4. As Officers of the Court, why has respondent joined in the frauds, deceits and embezzlements with officers of Cheyenne National Bank, First Wyoming Bank, H.P.A.C.C. and Travelers Indemnity Co.?

5. As Officers and Judges of the United State District Court and Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals; Why did the officers of the United States Constitution join Respondent in frauds, deceits and embezzlements of Petitioner's funds?

6. Why did Laurie Smith Camp United State District Judge give such Prejudice Judgment and Order without hearing witnesses at a hearing and terminate the United States Constitutional Amendment I, IV, and XIV?

7. Why did the United States Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals give an order without hearing and hearing witnesses and terminate the United States Constitutional Amendments I, IV, XIV?

8. Why has the States of Nebraska, Wyoming and Colorado with the United States Judiciaries terminate the United States Constitutional Amendments I, V, XIV Due process of law in audits and examinations?

9. Why is Respondent defrauding Petitioner out of Social Security Benefits?

10. Why has respondent defrauded petitioner out of deposits and used said funds to sue Petitioner?

11. Would respondent SSA internal audit show the frauds, deceits and theft in Petitioner's accounts?

12. Why would we need external auditors and external examiners?

13. Why did the respondent, States and United States join in the banks' and judicial frauds, deceits, and embezzlements of Petitioner's funds deposited?

14. Did the respondent file any claim in any of the State Courts actions against this Petitioner from 1975 to date?

15. Why did the Justice Department corrupt and fail their United States Constitutional duty to join and assist Petitioner in correcting those frauds, deceits and embezzlement of Petitioner's deposits?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED ..... i-ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS iii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... iv-v-vi

OPINION BELOW 1.A-1-6

JURISDICTION ..... 2.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION INVOLVED..... 2.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ALSO SEE AFFIDAVIT -A-22—A-27..... 2-3-5.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF STATED.....8-9-10

REASON FOR GRANTING WRIT.....4-10.
ALSO SEE AFFIDAVIT -A-1—A-31.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF WAS IN THE U.S. DISTRICT
COURT .....1-5.

CONCLUSION .....8-9-10.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Claim for Relief F.R.C.P. 12(e) 2-8-9-10

Equal Access to the Justice Act U.S.C.A. §504.....2-3

Federal Tort Claims Act Stat. 842,843,28 U.S.C.A§2672, §2673, §2674.....3

Social Security Act of 1935, 42 U.S.C.A. §§401-433.....3

Social Security and public welfare 5,121. C.J.S.....3

Federal Trade Commission Act of 1914. 15 U.S.C.A. §§41-58 4

Unfair Competition §§342-344.....4

Administrative Law 5 U.S.C.A. §556(c)(1) through (9), §6.01 (1977), ...4

Administrative Law Text §6-11 (1972); 5 U.S.C.A. §§551 et seq., 3105, 3344, 5371,7521 4

Slander damages, in total disregard and injurious falsehood §§66,105 7

Intervention by the United State or a State 28 U.S.C.A. §2403.....8

Seditious conspiracy in Substantive Felonies 18 U.S.C.A. §2384.....8

Amount by Fourth sentence remand 42 U.S.C. §405(g)...9

Federal Social Security Laws §422.210 Judicial Review (a) General..... 9

Constitutional Question 28 U.S.C.A. §3904 5-8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CONTINUTED

Affidavit by Petitioner are the statute violations of crimes committed, as follows. Supplemental Jurisdiction 28 U.S.C.A. § 1367 (a) Diversity Jurisdiction F.R.C.P Rule 8 (a), F.R.C.P. Default Rule 55 (a)(b), Summary Jurisdiction F.R.C.P. rule 56 (a)(C) Criminal Jurisdiction 18 U.S.C.A. §657, Laundering of Monetary instrument §1956 and §1968. Bribery and Graft. 18 U.S.C.A.§§212, 213, §654. Theft by bank examiners 18 U.S.C.A. §655. Theft, embezzlement and misapplication by bank officers and employees 18 U.S.C.§656. Lending, Credit and insurance institution 18 U.S.C.A. §657. Whoever, with intent to Defraud etc., 18 U.S.C.A. §658. Farm loan bonds and credit debentures 18 U.S.C.S. §1013. Major fraud against the United States 18 U.S.C.A. §1031 that by 28 U.S.C. §§451 and 471, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2403 (a) an Act of Congress was drawn into question. Money transaction 18 U.S.C.A. §1344 the bank frauds-deceits of Petitioner's deposits. Disclosure of information by Farm Credit Examiners 18 U.S.C.A. §1907. Illegal Trespass, Joint trespass [Cases: Trespass Key 31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CONTINUTED

Page

C.J.S. Trespass §§ 2, 34.]. F.R.C.P. 9(b).

Mail Fraud violations and contorts, erroneous judgments and orders in Kangaroo Courts 18 U.S.C.A. §3077(1)(A)(B)(I)(ii)(iii) in frivolous—trumped-up sham, illegal, contorts filing by banks, Attorneys and Respondent ..... -A-1—A-31.....-A-22—A-31

Affidavit by writ of certiorari 28 U.S.C.A. §1651 (a) 2

U.S. Constitution, Article III, Sec. 2.....2, 7

U.S. Constitution, Amendment I 2, 3,

U.S. Constitution, Amendment IV 2-3

U.S. Constitution, Amendment V 2-6-7

U.S. Constitution, Amendment IX 2-3

U.S. Constitution, Amendment X 3

U.S. Constitution, Amendment XIV 2-3-6-7

42 U.S.C. § 1983, ...i, -A-31

The Above Crimes and Violations by Respondent, is the REASON Petitioner demands a U.S. Special Prosecutor Aid and Assist Petitioner in the Crimes and Violations committed by Respondent.

OPINIONS BELOW

The U.S. District Court at Omaha, -A-1—6- Nebraska entered its decision denying all in substantive felonies. May 14, 2007, -A-13-14 Plaintiff filed his response by U.S. Mail Express -A-7-8-9-10-11-12, May 21, 2007, they received -A-13—A-14 May 22, 2007. Motion for substantive felony charges filed and mailed to the U.S. Attorney's Office, Civil Process Clerk, 1620 Dodge, Suite 1400, Omaha, NE 68102-1506. They denied receiving the mail. -A-14- is Prima facie proof. Plaintiff did not hear from the Court. Therefore filed for hospital expenses September 12, 2007, -A-3 by the Court sent Plaintiff a judgment. And October 12, 2007, -A-4- sent erroneous memorandum. Then October 14, 2007, -A-5 Order by the Court.

The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals gave erroneous Judgment and Dismissal December 11, 2007. -A-6- The U.S. District Court, Omaha, Nebraska trashed ALL EVIDENCE, would not HEAR witnesses and denied Petitioner a Jury Trail as demanded under 9th Amendment right of the United State Constitution.

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Article III §2 of the United State Constitution: The Judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and in equity, arising under this Constitution. Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §3904 (b), 28 U.S.C. 2101(C), 28 U.S.C.A. §509 "(b) Report to Congress. —Investigation of Financial Institutions and 28 U.S.C.A. §1651(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION INVOLVED

The Constitution of the United States, Amendments I, IV, V, IX and XIV are each and severally involved.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Petitioner asked the SSA Clerk to File for Hearing March 31, 2003, delayed until about August 31, then, hearing was November 4, 2007.

DUE TO THE FACT Appellee filed for dismissal Nov. 19, 2007, Petitioner has a right to file Motion November 26, 2007, Reply Brief and Motion for a more definite statment to State claim in support for Plaintiff—Appellant Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 12(e) is Claim for Relief.

The United States Court of Appeals trashed said Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e). **Is this Equal Access to the Justice Act 5 U.S.C.A. §504?** Claim for Relief: The action arises under the Social Security Act of 1935, 42 U.S.C.A. §§401-433, Social Security and Public Welfare 5, 121. C.J.S. Federal Trade Commission Act of 1914. §§41-58. Unfair Competition §§ 342-344. Federal Tort Claims Act Stat. 842, 843, 28 U.S.C.A. §2672, §2673, §2674.

As a result of Defendant-Appellee-Respondent joint frauds-deceits, cause much pain and suffering, loss of income, and enjoyment in Plaintiff's-Appellant-Petitioner's life, liberty, property and money was destroyed. Petitioner hereby demands the following conclusion. Because from the Administrative Law Judge through the U.S. District Court, Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals is nothing more than a circus of contortionist in defrauding Petitioner. See Affidavit of Petitioner. Terminating The United States Constitution Amendments I, IV, IX, X and XIV, plus all the Statutes stated in Affidavit. Civil & criminal violations. The Constitutional violations of Respondent was sufficient

to satisfy all claims Petitioner stated. The Eighth Circuit Court did not state Petitioner's conclusion for sums of money to be paid to Petitioner. Therefore is in total conflict in Justice, by Civil Rights violations, giving cause for granting a Writ of Certiorari.

REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT

ARGUMENT 1. In Complaint for Court Review of the Administrative Law Judge Larry M. Donovan obstructed issuing subpoenas, rule on evidence presented, take depositions, regulate the course of hearings, and make or recommend decisions 5 U.S.C.A. §556 (c)(1) through (9).. Administrative Law § 6.01 (1977). The Administrative Procedure Act, by judicial review that gives Citizens basic due process protection such as the right to present witnesses, evidence, heard by an independent hearing officer, Davis, Administrative Law Text §6-11 (1972); 5 U.S.C.A §§551 et seq., 3105, 3344, 5371, 7521. Decision and Jury Demand Page Three (3) CLAIM FOR RELIEF WAS STATED. As to the Equal Access to the Justice Act 5 U.S.C.A. §504 and 28 U.S.C.A §2412 is common knowledge. As to the amount by

Fourth-sentence Remand 42 U.S.C.A. §405 (g) and did not Affirm $7,116 per month benefits from March 31, 2003.

REQUEST FILING FOR BATTERY & INTENTION AL FRAUD–DECEIT AND IN SUBSTANTIVE FELONIES OF SAID OFFICERS OR IS THIS JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL BY JUDICIAL OFFICERS POLICING THEMSELVES? PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE -A-1—A-31. AS TO DENIAL OF RECEIVING -A-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14- on 5/22/07. And -A-13-A-14- is the Prima Facie Proof, the United States District Court received -A-7-8-9-10-11-12 on 5/22/07 -A-13-14 by T. Lawrence Deputy Clerk of the U.S. District Court, Omaha, Nebraska.

IS THIS A UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION 28 U.S.C.A. §3904?

CLAIM FOR RELIEF WAS IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPLAINT

Now because all the Respondent wants to do is FIGHT. This Petitioner hereby demands $32,000.00 thirty-two

thousand per month Benefit's A and $32,000.00 thirty-two thousand per month for Melva G. Heim B., benefits. In the United States District Court case 8:06-cv-468 see filing No. 1, by the Court s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge last paragraph, "Further, the plaintiff has capably presented the factual basis for the Claims in the proceeding and denied a motion for a Federal Special Prosecutor to aid and assist Petitioner in the criminal conduct of Judicial Officers in Court Procedure. **NO DISMISSAL was filed by Defendant.** U.S. District Court Case 8:06-cv-468 by the Court dated this 14th day of May , 2007 or September 12, 2007 s/Laurie Smith Camp United States District Judge. **NO DISMISSAL was filed by Defendant.** U.S. District Court dated this 14th day of September, 2007 by the Court s/Joseph F. Bataillon Chief United States District Judge. **NO DISMISSAL was filed by Defendant.**

Petitioner states the respondent say nothing in law, by law, or in legal law, to take benefits and damage without Due Process United States Constitution Amendments

V and XIV. OR IS THIS A CRIMINAL slander damages, in total disregard and injurious falsehood §§ 66, 105.

ARGUMENT 2. PETITIONER'S STATEMENT THIS PETITIONER HAS DEMANDED A FEDERAL SPECIAL PROSECUTOR AND ONE HUNDRED ATTORNEYS TO AID AND ASSIST THE PETITIONER IN THE CRIMINAL CONDUCT BY FARM CREDIT BANKERS AND COURTS THAT HAVE DEFRAUDED THIS PETITIONER OUT OF $10 MILLION BY THEIR CRIMINAL PARTICIPATION. PETITIONER HAS PAID FILING FEES of $350.00 July 7, 2006, -A15- FOR THE COMPLAINT IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AND $455.00 see -A-16- FOR COSTS TO APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT. THE RESPONDENTS HAVE DEFRAUDED THIS PETITIONER OUT OF SAID SUMS IN THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSION.

United States Constitutional Amendments V and XIV Due Process as to participation by the respondents and Judicial branch. Trashing Petitioner evidence and not hearing

witnesses to support Petitioner 28 U.S.C.A. §2403 Intervention by the United States or a State: Constitutional Question. **WHO IS THE VILLAIN?** That brings into a Constitutional Question 28 U.S.C.A. §3904 Expedited review of certain appeals (a) and (b) Jurisdiction Pub.L. 104-331, §3 (c), Oct 26, 1996, 110 Stat. 4070. 18 U.S.C.A. §2384 seditious conspiracy in Substantive Felonies tantamount to very serious injurious actions against this Petitioner. **SEE AFFIDAVIT OF PETITIONER PAGE 2. NO. 2.** Investigation of Financial Institutions; Assistance of Government Personnel 28 U.S.C.A. §509. Title 31 money and finance Chapter 53—Monetary Transactions. That by 28 U.S.C. §451, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2403 (a) An Act of Congress was drawn into question. See rule 14.1 (e)(v). SEE. United States Appeals Court for the Eighth Circuit Judgment and Dismissal.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF AND CONCLUSION

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE III §2. United States Constitutional Amendments V and XIV. 5 U.S.C.A., §504 and 28 U.S.C.A. §2412. Considering the

above and forging statutes, reasons and authorities, this petitioner prays and respectfully requests that a writ of Certiorari be issued to reverse Judgments and dismissal by the Federal Court and the Appeals Court.

Petitioner requests that the Judgment and dismissal be reversed and that this conclusion be affirmed. That all the issues and evidence be entered into the Court record with out delay.

As to the amount by fourth sentence remand 42 U.S.C. §405 (g) and affirming $52,000.00 fifty-two thousand per monthly benefits from March 31, 2003, for Arthur L. Heim A. and $52,000.00 fifty-two thousand per monthly benefits for Melva G. Heim B. Federal Social Security Laws §422.210 Judicial Review (a) General. "There are no amount-in-controversy limitations on these rights of appeal." $100,000,000.00 punitive damage with 17.5% compounded interest from July 1, 2007, to date. $18 Septillion with 17.5% annually compounded interest from January 1, 1975, to date. $18 Septillion with 17.5% annually com pounded Interest from January 1, 1975 to date. That any

and all deficiencies be TAX EXEMPT to Petitioner FOREVER—even to the Family—through Petitioner's estate, and that Petitioner and estate be able to sell Tax Exemptions to whoever, until said deficiencies is PAID IN FULL. Petitioner hereby prays the Court affirms this Claim. This is less than Nine thousand words—9000 words.

DATED THIS 7th DAY OF March, 2008.

s/Petitioner Pro se Arthur L Heim, a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim Claimant 521-44-5813, HC 63, Box 57, HWY 30, Bushnell, NE 69128-9512 Phone 308-235-8678

Case: 8:06-cv-00468-LSC Document #: 31-1
Date Filed: 05/15/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ARTHUR L. HEIM, **Plaintiff,** **v.** **SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, Michael J. Asture, Commissioner,** **Defendant.**	**CASE NO. 8:06CV468** **ORDER**

The matters before the Court are (1) the Plaintiff's appeal from a retirement benefits decision made by the Social security Administration ("SSA"); (2) a motion for a pretrial hearing (Filing No. 23); and (3) a motion for declaratory judgment and expedited review (Filing No. 30). The Plaintiff, Arthur L. Heim ("Heim"), who is proceeding pro se, has a history of filing abusive lawsuits asserting a wide-ranging conspiracy that swindled him out of millions of dollars. The named defendants have included the President of the United States, various United States judges, the banking system, and many other types of alleged wrongdoers. Heim has been sanctioned for improper use of Judicial process, and it has been noted that his claims lack a basis in existing law and evidentiary support. See Heim v. United States District Court for the District of Nebraska et al., No. 01 Civ. 272 (D. Neb. July 25, 2001). The Clerk of the District Court has been directed not to file any case submitted by Heim until the Chief Judge has had an opportunity review such a case.[1] *Id.*

[1]There is no evidence that this case was submitted to the Chief Judge for review. Regardless, I find Heim's claim to be without a basis in law or fact, and will dismiss the action for that reason.

In this case, after the SSA denied Heim's request for an increase in monthly retirement benefits, Heim appealed the decision to this Court. However, he is simply using this opportunity to reassert his perceived monumental conspiracy. His briefs again charge that the President of the United States, various United States judges, banking officials, and others have conspired against him. He alleges that $18 septillion has been deposited into the FICA for his benefit, and demands that sum plus 17.5% interest. He requests that one hundred special federal prosecutors be assigned to help him. Heim has offered no evidentiary support that he is entitled to an increase in retirement benefits, and during his hearing with an administrative law judge, he provided no basis for the amount of money he has requested. Consequently, I will affirm the SSA's decision.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The findings and conclusions of the Commissioner are affirmed;
2. The motion for Pretrial hearing (Filing No. 23) is denied; and
3. The motion for Pretrial, Declaratory Judgment, Expedited Treatment, and Jury Trial (Filing No. 30) is denied.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2007.

BY THE COURT:
s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

Case: 8;06-cv-00468-LSC Document #: 35-1
Date Filed: 09/12/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ARTHUR L. HEIM,	)	**CASE NO. 8:06CV468**
Plaintiff,	)	
V.	)	**JUDGMENT**
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER of the Social Security Administration,	)	
Defendant.	)	

For the reasons stated in the Order filed today and in the Memorandum and Order filed on May 14, 2007,

Judgment of dismissal with prejudice is entered in favor of the Defendant, Michael J. Astrue, in his capacity as the Commissioner of the Social Security Commission, and against the Plaintiff, Arthur L. Heim.

DATED this 12th day of September, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

Case: 8:06-cv-00468-LSC Document #: 36
Date Filed: 09/14/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ARTHUR L. HEIM,	)	**CASE NO.**
	)	**8:06CV468**
Plaintiff,	)	
V.	)	**ORDER**
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, as Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	)	

Defendant.

Pursuant to Judge Smith Camp's Order of September 12, 2007, (Filing No. 34), the undersigned has reviewed Arthur Heim's submission that is captioned, "Motion for Court Order for Social Security Administration Defendant et al., Pay Pain and Suffering in the sum of $One Hundred Million & Benefits." The submission alleges that the defendant and this court are committing "substantive felonies" against him and violating his constitutional rights through a conspiracy with the devil or other evil actor. The motion contains no allegation of fact, and does not seek request relief associated with any valid claim. Judgment has been entered in this action, and this submission should not be filed. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The submission will not be filed in this action;
2. The clerk is directed to return the submission to Arthur Heim at the address provided on the submission.

DATED this 14th day of September, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Joseph F. Bataillon
Chief United States District Judge

Case: 8:06-cv-00468-LSC Document #: 43-1
Date Filed:10/12/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ARTHUR L. HEIM,	) **CASE NO.**
	) **8:06CV468**
Plaintiff,	)
V.	)
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, as Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	) **MEMOR-**
	) **ANDUM**
	) **AND**
Defendant.	) **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court following of not one, but two, Notices of Appeal. (Filing Nos. 37 and 41). Plaintiff Arthur Heim is pro se. The Court has considered whether Heim should be permitted to proceed in forma pauperis, and finds that he should not be permitted to proceed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915. The court may authorize a litigant to proceed without the payment of fees and costs or security therefore upon a proper affidavit by the party that he is unable to cover such costs and fees. Pursuant to Section 1915(d), a Court "may dismiss the case if ...satisfied that the action is frivolous or malicious." Because the Plaintiff has filed no affidavit to show his inability to pay the fees, and because the Court concludes that the appeal is frivolous for the reasons stated in the Orders at Filing Nos. 31 and 34, the court will not permit Heim to proceed in forma pauperis. For this reason

IT IS ORDERED:

Plaintiff Arthur Heim shall not be permitted to proceed in forma pauperis.

DATED this 12th day of October, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No: 07-3397
Arthur Heim, also know as Sir Arthur Heim,
Appellant
v.
Michael J. Astrue,
Social Security Administration, Commissioner,
Appellee
No: 07-3399
Arthur Heim, also know as Sir Arthur Heim,
Appellant
v.
Michael J. Astrue,
Social Security Administration, Commissioner,
Appellee

Appeal from District of Nebraska- Omaha
(8:06-cv-00468-LSC)
(8:06-cv-00468-LSC)

JUDGMENT

The motion of appellee for dismissal of these appeals is granted. The appeals are hereby dismissed. See Eighth Circuit Rule 47A(b).

December 11, 2007

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Arthur L. Heim a/k/a
Sir Arthur Heim,
Claimant 521-44-5813

Case No. 8:06CV468

Plaintiff,

v.

Social Security Administration,
Michael J. Astrue,
Commissioner,

Defendant.

MOTION FOR SUBSTANTIVE FELONY CHARGES FILED AGAINST THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGES —JUSTICE DEPARTMENT— SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, FOR RELIEF AND HEARINGS

Comes now plaintiff Arthur L. Heim a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim by the F.R.C.P. for Substantive Felony charges be filed upon the united States District Court Judges, Justice Department and Social Security Administration 18 U.S.C.A. §1. Generally (180 So. 717; 126 P. 2d 406, 408), or by death Class A. felony or imprisonment Class B 25 years more and not less. 18 U.S.C.A. § 1. And substantive due process of law, to the following:

1. Plaintiff received an order from the United States District Court May 18, 2007, said Order exhibit A and B, is not signed and is not appealable —A sham—Fraud—deceit. Who wrote this unsigned order in conspiracy? George W. Bush President of the United States? Banker A. H. Trautwein through his employees Dick Cheney U.S.V.P? Richard Koft Chief Judge? Clerk of the Court? Or did they hold a GUN to the head of Laurie Smith Camp Judge? If Camp Judge wrote this order, it is a substantive felony—in concealing the true cause of order—obstruction of justice and is a class A Felony! A grand jury is hereby demanded to find

out WHY. As to the injustice of this Order, the Prima Facie Evidence in the court is overwhelming.
2. Plaintiff hereby demands a U.S. Attorney file charges in Substantive felonies against about court judges; Justice Department and SSA for stated violators of those laws. That the Court provide the Plaintiff with One Hundred Attorneys to guarantee the Justice department do its duty.
3. Is there and antifraud Justice Depart?
4. The Civil offenses provides Three times the claim demanded. See Public Tort under Tort.
5. Plaintiff did not abuse Trouble maker Richard Koft Chief Judge for no hearing, Obstructing the U.S. Constitution, Statutes and Laws in concealment in Substantive Felonies is a Class A Felony. The LORD GOD of Hosts laughs at evil wicked judges, plaintiff calls that he Richard Koft is in a heap of Trouble with GOD.
6. Substantive law the part of law that creates, defines, and regulates the rights, duties and powers of parties, of procedural law. The Common—law offenses of this type were Obstruction of Justice, barratry, maintenance, champerty, embracy, escape, prison breach, rescue, misprision of felony, compounding a crime, subornation of perjury, bribery, and misconduct in Office.
Those offenses are hereby committed against this Plaintiff in wrongful Orders by this Court.

> "So far as the administration of justice is concerned with the application of remedies to violated rights, we may say that the substantive law defines the remedy and the right, while the law of procedure defines the modes and conditions of the application of the one to the other." John Salmond, Jurisprudence 476 (Glanville L. Willims ed., 10th ed. 1947)
> Black's Law Dictionary

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff hereby demand Judgement of and from the Defendant in the above amounts stated February 28, 2007, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and all cost accrued herein.

Dated this 21st day of May, 2007.

S/Plaintiff Arthur L. Heim a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim, HC 63, Box 57, Bushnell, NE 69128-9512. Phone 308-235-8678

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ARTHUR L. HEIM, Plaintiff, v. **SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, Michael J. Asture, Commissioner,** Defendant.	**CASE NO. 8:06CV468** **ORDER**

The matters before the Court are (1) the Plaintiff's appeal from a retirement benefits decision made by the Social security Administration ("SSA"); (2) a motion for a pretrial hearing (Filing No. 23); and (3) a motion for declaratory judgment and expedited review (Filing No. 30). The Plaintiff, Arthur L. Heim ("Heim"), who is proceeding pro se, has a history of filing abusive lawsuits asserting a wide-ranging conspiracy that swindled him out of millions of dollars. The named defendants have included the President of the United States, various United States judges, the banking system, and many other types of alleged wrongdoers. Heim has been sanctioned for improper use of Judicial process, and it has been noted that his claims lack a basis in existing law and evidentiary support. See Heim v. United States District Court for the District of Nebraska et al., No. 01 Civ. 272 (D. Neb. July 25, 2001). The Clerk of the District Court has been directed not to file any case submitted by Heim until the Chief Judge has had an opportunity review such a case.[1] *Id.*

[1]There is no evidence that this case was submitted to the Chief Judge for review. Regardless, I find Heim's claim to be without a basis in law or fact, and will dismiss the action for that reason.

In this case, after the SSA denied Heim's request for an increase in monthly retirements benefits, Heim appealed the decision to this Court. However, he is simply using this opportunity to reassert his perceived monumental conspiracy. His briefs again charge that the President of the United States, various United States judges, banking officials and others have conspired against him. He alleges that $18 septillion has been deposited in the FICA for his benefit, and demands that sum plus 17.5% interest. He requests that one hundred special federal prosecutors be assigned to help him. Heim has offered no evidentiary support that he is entitled to an increase in retirement benefits, and during his hearing with an administrative law judge, he provided no basis for the amount of money he has requested. Consequently, I will affirm the SSA's decision.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The findings and conclusions of the Commissioner are affirmed;
2. The motion for Pretrial Hearing (Filing No. 23) is denied; and
3. The motion for Pretrial Hearing, Declaratory Judgment, Expedited Treatment, and Jury Trial (Filing No. 30) is denied.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Arthur L. Heim a/k/a/ Sir Arthur Heim,
Claimant 5621-44-5813,
Plaintiff,

v

Social Security Administration,
Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner,
Defendant.

Case No. 8:06-CV-468

) MOTION FOR SUBSTANTIVE FELONY CHARGES
) FILED AGAINST THE UNITED STATE COURT
) DISTRICT JUDGES—JUSTICE DEPARTMENT—
) SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, FOR
) RELIEF AND HEARINGS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Undersigned Plaintiff hereby Certified that on this 21st day of May, 2007, Plaintiff under Oath of Perjury. Mailed the Original and one Copy to the Clerk and True and Correct copies to the United States Attorney's of this Motion for Substantive Felony Charges to be filed against the United States District Court Judges—Justice Department—Social Security Administration, for Relief and hearings and Exhibits A and B. Was mailed by U.S. Mail Postage prepaid to the Following:

1. The Original to the Clerk of the United States District Court, Roman L. Hruska U.S. Courthouse, 111 S. 18th Plaza, Suite 1152, Omaha, NE 68102-1322.
2. Copies to the United States Attorney's Office, Civil Process Clerk, 1620 Dodge, Suite 1400, Omaha, NE 68102-1506

Dated this 21st day of May, 2007

S/Plaintiff Arthur L. Heim a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim, HC 63, Box 57, Bushnell, NE 69128-9512. Phone 308-235-8678

SIDNEY MAIN PO
SIDNEY, Nebraska
691629998
3066480412 -0097
05/21/2007 (308) 254-2911 09:36:13 AM
Sales Receipt

Product Description	Sale Qty	Unit Price	Final Price
Omaha NE 68102 Zone - 3			$16.25
Express Mail PO - Add Flat Rate 3.30 oz.			
Label #: EQ739601685US			
Next Day Noon / Normal Delivery			
Return Rcpt (Green Card)			$2.15
Issue PVI:			$18.40
OMAHA NE 68102 Zone - 3			$ 0.58
First - Class Letter			
1.70 oz.			
Issue PVI:			$ 0.58
Total:			$18.98

Paid by:

Cash	$100.00
Change Due:	-$81.02

Order stamps at USPS.com/shop or call 1-800-Stamp24. Go to USPS.com/clicknship to print shipping labels with postage. For other information call 1-800-ASK-USPS.

Bill#: 1000303007925
Clerk: 04

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.
Customer Copy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA OFFICE OF THE CLERK
www.ned.uscourts.gov

Denise M. Lucks
Clerk of Court

M. Therese Bollerup
Chief Deputy Clerk

November 8, 2007

Sir Arthur Heim
HC63, Box 57
Bushnell, NE 69128

Dear Mr. Heim:

The enclosed money order is being returned to you because the appeal fee for case number 8:06cv468 has been paid. The appeal fee was paid on October 24, 2007.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the clerk's office at (402) 661-7350.

Sincerely,

S/Tiwauna Lawrence
Deputy Clerk

Enclosures (1)

111 South 18th Plaza
Suite 1152
Omaha, NE 68102-1322
(402) 661-7350
Fax: (402) 661-7387
Toll Free: (866) 220-4381

100 Centennial Mall North,
Room 593
Lincoln, NE 68508-3803
(402) 437-5225
Fax: (402) 437-5651
Toll Free: (866) 220-4379

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete Items 1,2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

a. Signature

x Lawrence — Agent / Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) — C. Date of delivery

T. Lawrence — 5-22-07

D. Is address different from item 1? ☐ Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ NO

1. Article Addressed to:

Clerk: United States District Court
Romon L. Hruska U.S. Courthouse
111 S. 18th Plaza, Suite 1152
Omaha, NE 68102-1322

3. Service Type

☐ Certified Mail ☑ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Registered Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number
(Transfer from service label) EQ739601685US EQ739601685US

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

CUSTOMER'S RECEIPT DO NOT SEND THIS RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT
KEEP IT FOR YOUR RECORDS

92634474238	060707	691280	*350*00
SERIAL NUMBER	YEAR, MONTH, DAY	POST OFFICE	U.S. DOLLARS AND CENTS

PAY TO UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT
ADDRESS 111 S. 18TH PLAZA, SUITE 115
OMAHA, NE 68102-1322
C.O.D. NO. OR USED FOR

CHECK WRITER IMPRINT AREA $350.00
FROM SIR ARTHUR HEIM
ADDRESS HC 63, BOX 57
BUSHNELL, NE 69108-9512

This receipt is your guarantee for a refund of your money order if it is lost or stolen, provided you fill in the Pay To and From information on the money order in the space provided. No claim for improper payment permitted 2 years after payment. If your money order is lost or stolen, present this receipt and file a claim for a refund at your Post Office.

An inquiry Form 6401 may be filed at any time for a fee. A replacement will not be issued until 60 days after the money order purchase date, provided the money order has not been paid.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

POSTAL MONEY ORDER

15-800
000

SERIAL NUMBER	YEAR, MONTH, DAY	POST OFFICE	U.S. DOLLARS AND CENTS
11640541432	2007-10-23	691450	*455*00

AMOUNT FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY FIVE DOLLARS & 00¢

PAY TO UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT

ADDRESS 111 S. 18TH PLAZA, SUITE 1152
OMAHA, NE 68102-1322
C.O.D. NO. OR USED FOR

NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS SEE REVERSE WARNING
FROM SIR ARTHUR HEIM
ADDRESS HR 63, BOX 57
BUSHNELL, NE 69108-9512

00000800 2 11640541432

-A-16-

Cheyenne National Bank
18th & Carey • P.O. Box 1227 • Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001
(307) 634-5961

PAGE 2

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT
ACCOUNT NUMBER
34-19-28
STATEMENT DATE
01/13/76
PLEASE ADVISE US OF ANY
CHANGE OF ADDRESS

-A-18-

BEGINNING BALANCE	WE HAVE SUBTRACTED CHECKS		AND ADDED DEPOSITS		WITH A SERVICE CHARGE OF	RESULTING IN A BALANCE OF
	NUMBER	AMOUNT	NUMBER	AMOUNT		
CHECKS			DEPOSITS		DATE	BALANCE
5.00	5.00		7.57		01/12	
26.13						1281.14
				260,000.00	01/13	261281.14

CHEYENNE NATIONAL BANK, CHEYENNE, WYO.

WE HAVE CHARGED YOUR ACCOUNT FOR DEPOSIT MADE IN ERROR TO WRONG ACCOUNT.

ACCT NO. 34-19-28 DATE 1-15-76 APPD SC AMOUNT 260,000.00

-A-19-

TO ART HIEM
BOX 57
BUSHNELL, NEBRASKA 69128

IN & OUT
DO NOT USE

1070 0015 341928 0026000000

DO NOT FAIL TO MAKE THIS ENTRY IN YOUR CHECK BOOK

NO._____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNTIED STATES

OCTOBER TERM 2007

ARTHUR L. HEIM, A/K/A SIR ARTHUR HEIM,

PETITIONER

vs.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION;

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE

SOCIAL SECURITY

ADMINISTRATION COMMISSIONER,

RESPONDENT

ON CONTENT OF A PETITION FOR WRIT OF

CERTIORARI TO THE UNTIED STATES COURT

OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

AFFIDAVIT OF PETITIONER

S/ARTHUR L. HEIM A/K/A/
SIR ARTHUR HEIM pro se
HC 63, BOX 57, HWY 30
BUSHNELL, NE 69128-9512. Claimant 521-44-5813
Phone 308-235-8678

STATE OF NEBRASKA]
] SS:
COUNTY OF KIMBALL]

1. Plaintiff, Appellant and Petitioner, being duly sworn, deposes and says: Petitioner hereby requests by Motion in this Affidavit to proceed as a right to these Writs of Certiorari Acts of entitlements in this Supreme Court of the United States — And Sue defendant, appellee and Respondent Social Security Administration. This NOTICE of proceedings are maintained in this Affidavit duly filed, and on Motion and Petition showing Fact —in Prima Facie Evidence-relying on relief-recovery. As to the erroneous judgments and orders resulting therefrom, The Writs of Certiorari Acts is to Vacate judgments and orders of the lower Courts erroneous inaction-without cause, of failure in due process-Abuse of Power in Lawlessness- without the Justice Department Criminal Attorneys' assisting and aiding this Petitioner, the Congress is hereby brought into question... the Writ Acts is 28 U.S.C.A. §1651(a). In the Crimes by the Farm Credit Banks and Defendant-Appellee-Respondent-Joint Trespass-was in Frauds-Deceit-embezzlement of the Petitioner's Bank Accounts. The Prima Facie Evidence is -A-1—31 that by Joint trespass, by the Courts' inaction Hearings, Witnesses, and Evidence did not happen.
   I. That Respondent through the Administrative Law Judge Larry M. Donovan Obstructed Issuing subpoenas, rule on evidence presented take dispositions, regulate the course of the hearings, and make or recommend decisions 5 U.S.C.A. §556(c)(1) through (9). Administrative Law §6.01 (1977).
   II. Administrative Procedure Act, by Judicial review that gives citizens basic due-process protection such as the right to present witnesses and evidence and to be heard by an independent hearing officer. Davis, administrative Law Text §6-11 (1972); 5 U.S.C.A. §§551 et seq., 3105, 3344, 5371, 7521.

III. Defendant, Appellee, Respondent Social Security Administration Joe Anne B. Barnhart, Commissioner in the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska n/k/a Michael J. Astrue as Commissioner of the Social Security administration, Defendant, Michael J. Astrue Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, in the United States court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and may Petitioner Sue Social Security Administration Michael J. Astrue in the Supreme Court of the United States for frauds, deceits they have committed. That By the Court Laurie Smith Camp United States District Judge gave an erroneous Memorandum and Order, Dated the 12th day of October, 2007, and stated Plaintiff had not paid fees and costs upon a proper affidavit and is unable to pay to cover such costs and fees. A-5. The Plaintiff cannot see Why the Court accepted $350.00 for fees on July 10, 2006, sent by a United States Money Order by Certify Mail No. 7004 1350 0001 1683 6061, A-15 - 16. Plaintiff did not ask the Court to File Pauperis. Plaintiff has PAID ALL COSTS AND FEES. Then I received a letter from the United States District Court, District of Nebraska, Office of the Clerk, November 8, 2007, A-17, stating the appeal fee was paid on October 24, 2007. After Petitioner asked the U.S. District Court Clerk, "HOW MUCH WAS THE FEE?" the Clerk mailed back a second U.S. Money Order for $455.00 by Tiwauna Lawrence Deputy Clerk. A-17 -A-13-A-14-

2. The Courts denied any United States Federal Special Prosecutor or Attorneys to aid and assist Plaintiff in the Criminal conduct of defendants, in the Subject Matter of Jurisdictions of Supplemental Jurisdiction 28 U.S.C.A. §1367 (a) Diversity Jurisdiction F.R.C.P. Rule 8 (a), F.R.C.P. Default Rule 55 (a)(b), Summary Jurisdiction F.R.C.P. Rule 56 (a)(b), Summary Jurisdiction F.R.C.P. Rule 56 (a)(c. Criminal Jurisdiction 18 U.S.C.A. §657, Laundering of monetary instruments §1956, and §1968. Bribery and Graft. 18 U.S.C.A. §§212,213, §654, Theft

by Bank examiners 18 U.S.C.A. §655. Theft, embezzlement, and misapplication by Bank Officers and employees 18 U.S.C.A. §656 Lending, credit and insurance institution 18 U.S.C.A. §657, Whoever, with the intent to defraud, etc., 18 U.S.C.A. §658. Farm loan bonds and credit debentures. 18 U.S.C.A. §1013. Major Fraud against the United States 18 U.S.C.A. §1031, that by 28 U.S.C. §§451 and 471, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2403(a) An act of Congress was drawn into question. See Rule 14.1 (e)(v). Disclosure of information by Farm Credit Examiners 18 U.S.C.A. §1907, Title 31 Money and Finance —Chapter 53 at 5311 et seq., Money Transaction 18 U.S.C.A. §1344 the bank Frauds-deceits of Petitioner's deposits. This is the Breach of duty by Officers of the Courts. Defendant, appellee, Respondent, Farm Credit Services - H.P.A.C.C., Cheyenne National Bank, First Wyoming Bank n/k/a Bank of the West — Also Wright, Simmons & Seizer is in the Witnesses LIST, that was in the SSA file before Judge Larry M. Donovan April, 2003. In Substantive Felonies, illegal trespass, Joint trespass [Cases: Trespass key 31 C.J.S. trespass §§3, 34.] with said officers F.R. Civ. P9(b). Also Mail fraud violations and Contortions, known as erroneous Judgments and Orders in a Lawlessness Kangaroo Courts 18 U.S.C.A. §3077 (1)(A)(B)(i)(ii)(iii) in Frivolous — Trumped-up Sham, illegal, contortion filings by Banks and Attorneys. **THIS PETITIONER REQUESTS A UNITED STATES PROSECUTOR AND ONE HUNDRED ATTORNEYS TO AID AND ASSIST PETITIONER IN THIS CRIMINAL CONDUCT IN THE COURTS AND RESPONDENT.** The Courts have defrauded this Petitioner out of $Millions from January 1, 1975 to Date. How much does it take to even hear this case?

3. This Affidavit or declaration is in support of Petitioner. Petition attached hereto. That Respondent, Courts and Judges Terminated The United States Constitutional Amendments I, IV, V, XIV with their Kangaroo Courts — see A-7, A-8, A-9, A-10, A-11 and A-12 — was mailed May 21, 2007, and of Record received May 22, 2007, by

Express mail, signed by T. Lawrence see A-13 & A-14, is the Prima Facie Proof. In Motion to Dismiss and erroneous Memorandum in support for Defendant-Appellee. In motion to dismiss and erroneous Memorandum in support for Defendant-Appellee C. Cases Before the Court Page 6. Last visited Nov. 2, 2007. The Respondent States Nov. 19, 2007, by C. Geraldine Umphenour "The Clerk of the District Court advised Assistant United States Attorney Laurie Barrett that the Court has no record of receiving this motion and no record that it was received and returned. See Nebraska Docket Report. "See A-13. In erroneous Memorandum and Order by the Court, States Plaintiff should not be permitted to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff did not ask the district court that question, because A-14, is the prima facie Proof. Plaintiff paid said fees, and A-15 and A-16, is the prima facie proof. That A-18, is a charge against my Cheyenne National Bank account by Frauds, deceit and embezzlements of Cattle Sales, Wheat Sales, of wool, ewes and fat lamb sales, A-19 is Prima Facie Proof. A-20—A-21- is a $50,000.00 deposit April 4, 1975 and is Prima Facie Proof. A-21, is my Cheyenne National Bank Statement that did not show the deposit, Prima Facie Proof and is of Record in the respondent file and other Courts. (This is Under 9000 words.)

4. I Swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that, because Petitioner has the right to equal access to justice — to Sue in this Court. I swear or affirm under the United States laws that Petitioner's petition is presented in Good Faith and not for delay. That Statements are true and correct.

Executed This 5th day, of March, 2008.

S/Petitioner Pro se, Claimant 521-44-5813 Arthur L. Heim, a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim, HC 63, Box 57, HWY 30, Bushnell, NE 69128-9512. Phone 308-235-8678

Subscribe and sworn to before me as follows:
S/Mary L. Soper
Notary Signature

GENERAL NOTARY - STATE OF NEBRASKA
MARY L. SOPER
MY COMM. EXP. 10-31-08

SIR ARTHUR HEIM, HC 63, BOX 57, HWY 30,
BUSHNELL, NE 69128-9512

Clerk: United States District Court
Roman L. Hruska U.S. Courthouse
111 S. 18th Plaza, Suite 1152
Omaha, NE 68102-1322

October 21, 2007

Re: October 12, 2007, letter to Laurie Smith Camp U.S. Judge; Subject: 8:06CV468; an Appeal fee of $455.00 was not Received by Judy Eicher.

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed is a United States Money Order, For Appeal Fee of $455.00 Plaintiff asked this Court September 28, 2007, in writing, with the Notice of Appeal, the Amount of fees to be Paid. This Plaintiff did not receive any Notice of fees to be paid.

The October 12, 2007, letter, mailed October 15, 2007, in U.S. Mail was the first notice I received. Plaintiff called 402-661-7350—the Clerk; October 16, 2007, 1:1712 p.m. and requested the amount. She said she did not know.

Please let me know the United States Court of Appeals case NO.

THANK YOU
SIR ARTHUR HEIM

The following document are included by Petitioner Arthur L. Heim a/k/a Sir Arthur Heim within their

Statements:

APPENDIXES

Document		Pages
PRIMA FACIE PROOF ENCLOSURES ATTACHED TO CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
Affidavit of Petitioner	A-22—A-27-	4
Motion for Substantive Felony Charges filed against the United States District Court Judges-Justice Department, Social Security Administration, for Relief and Hearings. Reason for granting writ -A-7. A-8. A-9, A-10, A-11, A-12		7
U.S Postal Receipt	-A-12	1
Return Clerk: U.S. District Court, 22 May, 2007, Signed by T. Lawrence 5-22-7	-A-13- & -A-14-	1 & -A-25
Filing Fees Paid	-A-14—A-28	1
Letter to Clerk: U.S. District Court, October 21, 2007,	-A-28-	5
Letter U.S. Dist Court Costs Paid.	-A-17—A-28-	5
Cheyenne National Bank Statement A Charge in Frauds NO AUDIT By External Auditors & Examiners &-A-22—A-27	-A-18—A-19-	5
April 4, 1975, $50,000.00 deposit	A-20	A-26
April 9, 1975, Cheyenne National Bank Statement did not show $50,000.00 deposit -A-21 -1 & A-26		

Rule 17. Considerations governing Review on certiorari

1. A review on writ of certiorari is not a matter of right but of Judicial discretion, and will be granted only when there are special and important reasons therefore. The following while neither controlling nor fully measuring the Court's discretion, indicate the character of reasons that will be considered.

(a)When the Federal Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit has terminated the United States Constitution I, IV, V, IX X, XIV, Statutes and Laws has rendered a corrupt decision in this subject matter; and has decided a federal question in terminating all Statutes with the States—Courts has obstructed justice and has so far departed from the accepted usual course of Judicial proceeding, defrauding this Petitioner, for their last resort; and has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceeding, and has sanctioned the corruptions of the lower Courts—joint corruption. The Petitioner hereby demands an exercise of this Court's Power of Supervision.

42 U.S.C. §1983.

Civil Action for

deprivation of rights

Every person who, under color of any statue, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any State or territory of the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, tort claim, or other proper proceeding for redress. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia. 42 U.S.C. §1983 Therefore, affirm Petitioner Claim and Conclusion.

WE INVITE INQUIRIES
ON ALL TYPES OF
BUSINESS LOANS...

CHEYENNE NATIONAL BANK
Cheyenne, Wyoming
THIS IS YOUR RECEIPT

ALWAYS OBTAIN AN OFFICIAL RECEIPT WHEN MAKING A DEPOSIT
USE THIS RECEIPT WHEN CHECKING DEPOSITS LISTED ON YOUR BANK STATEMENT
ALL ITEMS RECEIVED ARE CREDITED TO OUR ACCOUNT SUBJECT TO FINAL PAYMENT

006402

THANK YOU

99 75 4 3 8 APR 4 50,000 D2
10

-A-20-

THE BANK SYMBOL, TRANSACTION NUMBER, DATE AND AMOUNT OF YOUR DEPOSIT ARE SHOWN ABOVE.

Kimball District Court, Kimball, NE Exhibit # 2, May 16, 2006, 11:30 a.m. - 12: p.m. Case NO. 5143

Cheyenne National Bank
18th & Carey • P.O. Box 1227 • Cheyenne, WYO. 82001 (307) 634-5961
WYOMING'S MOST PROGRESSIVE BANK 002950
ACCOUNT NUMBER 34 192 8 ARTHUR OR GAYE HEIM
STATEMENT DATE APR 9, 1975
PAGE 2

CHECKS		DEPOSITS	DATE	BALANCE
395.00			03 3_	321.41
		1,000.00	04 01	1,321.41
10.00	10.00		04 02	1,301.41
6.00			04 03	1,295.41
5.13			04 04	1,290.28
81.37			04 07	1,208.91
256.16			04 08	952.75
15.00	35.34		04 09	902.41

1,214.03	46	4,311.62	2	4,000.00	.00	902.41